

COMP50001: Algorithm Design & Analysis

Sheet 2 (Week 3)

Exercise 2.1

Find a binary operation $(\diamond) :: (a \rightarrow a) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow a) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow a)$ and an element $\epsilon :: a \rightarrow a$ such that the set of functions of type $a \rightarrow a$ with \diamond and ϵ forms a monoid.

Exercise 2.2

Given any two monoids $(M_1, \diamond_1, \epsilon_1)$ and $(M_2, \diamond_2, \epsilon_2)$, a *monoid homomorphism* from M_1 to M_2 is a function $h :: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} h(x \diamond_1 y) &= (h x) \diamond_2 (h y) \\ h \epsilon_1 &= \epsilon_2 \end{aligned}$$

Give three monoid homomorphisms from $([Int], ++, [])$ to $(Int, +, 0)$.

Exercise 2.3

Calculate the asymptotic time complexity of `concatl xs` below in terms of n and m where xs contains n lists, each containing m elements.

```
concatl :: [[a]] -> [a]
concatl = foldl (++) []
```

Exercise 2.4

The `List` type class is shown in Figure 2.4. Complete the specification of the `List` type class by providing a default implementation for all the operations other than `fromList` and `toList`.

Exercise 2.5

Implement an instance of `List` using standard lists `[a]` without using functions from the *Prelude* other than the list constructors, and give the time complexities of each operation.

Exercise 2.6

Implement an instance of `List` using the following `Tree` type:

```
data Tree a = Tip | Leaf a | Fork (Tree a) (Tree a)
```

Ensure that the worst case complexity of $(++)$ is $O(1)$. What is the worst case complexity of `head`?

```
class List list where
  fromList :: [a] -> list a
  toList :: list a -> [a]
  normalize :: list a -> list a

  empty :: list a
  single :: a -> list a
  cons :: a -> list a -> list a
  snoc :: list a -> a -> list a

  head :: list a -> a
  tail :: list a -> list a
  init :: list a -> list a
  last :: list a -> a

  isEmpty :: list a -> Bool
  isSingle :: list a -> Bool
  length :: list a -> Int
  (++) :: list a -> list a -> list a
  (!!) :: list a -> Int -> a
```

Figure 1: List class definition

Exercise 2.7

Define an instance of *List* using *DList* below, and give the complexities of all operations in terms of the length of the input list (assume all *DList* arguments to functions are built using the operations in *List*).

newtype *DList* *a* = *DList* ([*a*] → [*a*])

Hint: *fromList* *xs* = *DList* (*xs* ++). Consider carefully whether the time complexity is affected by strict or lazy evaluation.

Exercise 2.8

Explain why the following implementation of *fromList* is undesirable in the last exercise:

fromList *xs* = *DList* (++ *xs*)

Exercise 2.9

Prove or disprove the following assertions for the *DList* instance of *List* from Exercise (2.7).

1. *fromList* (*toList* *dxs*) = *dxs* for any *dxs* :: *DList* *a*.
2. *toList* (*fromList* *xs*) = *xs* for any *xs* :: [*a*].

Solutions to the Exercises

Solution 2.1

Define $(\diamond) f \ g \ x = f \ (g \ x)$, i.e., (\diamond) is function composition, and $\epsilon \ x = x$, i.e., ϵ is the identity function *id*. For any $x :: a$,

$$(\epsilon \diamond f) \ x = \epsilon \ (f \ x) = f \ x = f \ (\epsilon \ x) = (f \diamond \epsilon) \ x$$

so $\epsilon \diamond f = f \diamond \epsilon$. Similarly, for any $x :: a$,

$$(f \diamond (g \diamond h)) \ x = f \ (g \ (h \ x)) = ((f \diamond g) \diamond h) \ x$$

so functions of $a \rightarrow a$ with \diamond and ϵ forms a monoid.

Solution 2.2

1. The constant function mapping all lists xs to 0 is a monoid homomorphism.
2. The function $length :: [Int] \rightarrow Int$ is a monoid homomorphism.
3. The function $sum :: [Int] \rightarrow Int$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} sum \ [] &= 0 \\ sum \ (x : xs) &= x + sum \ xs \end{aligned}$$

is a monoid homomorphism.

Solution 2.3

We define a ternary recurrence relation $T(k, n, m)$ to compute the asymptotic complexity of $foldl \ (+) \ ys \ xss$ where $ys :: [a]$ contains k elements and $xss :: [[a]]$ contains n lists of m a -elements. Because $foldl \ (+) \ ys \ [] = ys$,

$$T(k, 0, m) = 1.$$

Also we have $foldl \ (+) \ ys \ (xs : xss) = foldl \ (+) \ (ys ++ xs) \ xss$. In strict time analysis, argument $ys ++ xs$ must be computed before recursive call to $foldl$. Since the time complexity of computing $ys ++ xs$ is $O(length \ ys)$,

$$T(k, n, m) = k + T(k + m, n - 1, m).$$

Then the time complexity of $concatl \ xss = foldl \ (+) \ [] \ xss$ is

$$\begin{aligned} &T(0, n, m) \\ &= 0 + T(m, n - 1, m) \\ &= 0 + m + T(2m, n - 2, m) \\ &= 0 + m + 2m + T(3m, n - 3, m) \\ &= \dots \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k * m \right) + T(nm, n - n, m) \\ &\in \Theta(n^2 m) \end{aligned}$$

Solution 2.4

It is not desirable to provide a default implementation of *fromList* and *toList*, since serve as the bridge between the abstract datatype $[a]$ and the concrete type *list a*.

class *List list where*

fromList :: $[a] \rightarrow \text{list } a$

toList :: *list a* $\rightarrow [a]$

normalize :: *list a* $\rightarrow \text{list } a$

normalize *xs* = *fromList* (*toList* *xs*)

empty :: *list a*

empty = *fromList* []

single :: *a* $\rightarrow \text{list } a$

single *x* = *fromList* [*x*]

cons :: *a* $\rightarrow \text{list } a \rightarrow \text{list } a$

cons *x* *xs* = *fromList* (*x* : *toList* *xs*)

snoc :: *list a* $\rightarrow a \rightarrow \text{list } a$

snoc *xs* *x* = *fromList* (*toList* *xs* ++ [*x*])

head :: *list a* $\rightarrow a$

head *xs* = *head* (*toList* *xs*)

tail :: *list a* $\rightarrow \text{list } a$

tail *xs* = *fromList* (*tail* (*toList* *xs*))

init :: *list a* $\rightarrow \text{list } a$

init *xs* = *fromList* (*init* (*toList* *xs*))

last :: *list a* $\rightarrow a$

last *xs* = *last* (*toList* *xs*)

isEmpty :: *list a* $\rightarrow \text{Bool}$

isEmpty *xs* = *isEmpty* (*toList* *xs*)

isSingle :: *list a* $\rightarrow \text{Bool}$

isSingle *xs* = *isSingle* (*toList* *xs*)

length :: *list a* $\rightarrow \text{Int}$

length *xs* = *length* (*toList* *xs*)

(++) :: *list a* $\rightarrow \text{list } a \rightarrow \text{list } a$

xs ++ *ys* = *fromList* (*toList* *xs* ++ *toList* *ys*)

(!!) :: *list a* $\rightarrow \text{Int} \rightarrow a$

xs !! *i* = *toList* *xs* !! *i*

Solution 2.5

```

instance List [] where
  -- fromList xs: O(1)
  fromList = id

  -- toList xs: O(1)
  toList    = id

  -- normalize xs: O(1)
  normalize = id

  -- empty: O(1)
  empty :: [a]
  empty = []

  -- single x: O(1)
  single :: a → [a]
  single x = [x]

  -- cons x xs: O(1)
  cons :: a → [a] → [a]
  cons = (:)

  -- snoc xs x: O(n) where n = length xs
  snoc :: [a] → a → [a]
  snoc xs x = xs ++ [x]

  -- head xs: O(1)
  head :: [a] → a
  head []      = error "head: empty list"
  head (x:xs) = x

  -- tail xs: O(1)
  tail :: [a] → [a]
  tail []      = error "tail: empty list"
  tail (x:xs) = xs

  -- init xs: O(n) where n = length xs
  init :: [a] → [a]
  init []      = error "init: empty list"
  init [x]     = []
  init (x:xs) = x:init xs

  -- last xs: O(n) where n = length xs
  last :: [a] → a
  last []      = error "last: empty list"
  last [x]     = x
  last (x:xs)  = last xs

  -- isEmpty xs: O(1)
  isEmpty :: [a] → Bool
  isEmpty [] = True
  isEmpty _  = False

  -- isSingle xs: O(1)
  isSingle :: [a] → Bool
  isSingle [x] = True
  isSingle _   = False

  -- length xs: O(n) where n = length xs
  length :: [a] → Int
  length []      = 0
  length (x:xs) = 1 + length xs

  -- xs ++ ys: O(n) where n = length xs
  (++) :: [a] → [a] → [a]
  [] ++ ys      = ys
  (x:xs) ++ ys = x:xs ++ ys

  -- xs !! i: O(n) where n = length xs
  (!! :: [a] → Int → a
  [] !! n = error "(!!): empty list"
  (x:xs) !! 0 = x
  (x:xs) !! n = xs !! (n - 1)

```

Solution 2.6

This is a naive (but complete!) solution that makes no attempt to balance the trees:

```

instance List Tree where
  fromList [] = Tip

```

```

fromList (x : xs) = Fork (Leaf x) (fromList xs)
toList Tip        = []
toList (Leaf x)   = [x]
toList (Fork txs tys) = toList txs ++ toList tys
txs ++ tys = Fork txs tys

```

All the other definitions use the default implementation.

Solution 2.7

Is `empty = DList (\xs → [])` correct?

instance List DList where

```

-- toList: O(n)
toList :: DList a → [a]
toList (DList dxs) = dxs []

-- fromList: O(1)
fromList :: [a] → DList a
fromList xs = DList (xs++)

-- (++): O(1)
(++) :: DList a → DList a → DList a
DList dxs ++ DList fys = DList (dxs ∘ fys)

-- empty: O(1)
empty :: DList a
empty = DList (\xs → xs)

-- isEmpty xs: O(n), where n = length xs
isEmpty :: DList a → Bool
isEmpty xs = isEmpty (toList xs)

-- single x: O(1)
single :: a → DList a
single x = DList (x:)

-- isSingle xs: O(n), where n = length xs
isSingle :: DList a → Bool
isSingle xs = isSingle (toList xs)

-- cons x xs: O(1)
cons :: a → DList a → DList a
cons x (DList dxs) = DList ((x:) ∘ dxs)

-- length xs: O(n), where n = length xs
length :: DList a → Int
length xs = length (toList xs)

-- snoc xs x: O(1)
snoc :: DList a → a → DList a
snoc (DList dxs) x = DList (dxs ∘ (x:))

-- xs !! i: O(n), where n = length xs
(!!) :: DList a → Int → a

-- head xs: O(n), where n = length xs
head :: DList a → a
head xs = head (toList xs)

-- tail xs: O(n), where n = length xs
tail :: DList a → DList a
tail xs = fromList (tail (toList xs))

-- init xs: O(n), where n = length xs
init :: DList a → DList a
init xs = fromList (init (toList xs))

-- last xs: O(n), where n = length xs
last :: DList a → a
last xs = last (toList xs)

```

In the above implementation, any operation returning some `DList f` satisfies that `f = (xs++)` for some `xs :: [a]`, or `f = (x:)` for

some $x :: a$, or f is the composite of two functions inside $DList$. Since $(xs \mathbin{++})$ is equal to (and has the same asymptotic time complexity as)

$$(x_0:) \circ (x_1:) \dots \circ (x_n:)$$

for $xs = [x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$. It follows that for any $DList\ f :: DList\ a$ built from the interface of $List$, f is equal to (and have the same time complexity as)

$$(y_0:) \circ (y_1:) \dots \circ (y_m:)$$

for a set of elements $y_0, y_1, \dots, y_m :: a$. Thus in a lazy semantics, $toList\ (DList\ f) = f\ []$ takes constant time and furthermore $head$, $tail$, $isEmpty$, and $isSingle$ take constant time too.

Solution 2.8

With this definition, we can construct

$$\begin{aligned} d &= fromList\ xs_1 \mathbin{++} (fromList\ xs_2 \mathbin{++} (\dots fromList\ xs_n)) \\ &= DList((\mathbin{++}xs_1) \circ (\mathbin{++}xs_2) \circ \dots \circ (\mathbin{++}xs_n)) \end{aligned}$$

and evaluating $toList\ d = concatl\ [xs_1, xs_2, \dots, xs_n]$. In Exercise (2.3), we have demonstrated that it takes $O(n^2m)$ time to evaluate it with strict semantics, as opposed to $O(nm)$ where m is the maximum number of elements in xs_i .

Solution 2.9

1. Letting $dxs = DList\ reverse$,

$$fromList\ (toList\ dxs) = fromList\ (reverse\ []) = fromList\ [] = DList\ (\lambda xs \rightarrow [] \mathbin{++} xs)$$

which is different from dxs , so this property does not hold.

2. for any list $xs :: [a]$,

$$toList\ (fromList\ xs) = toList\ (DList\ (xs \mathbin{++})) = xs \mathbin{++} [] = xs$$

so this property holds.