# 4: LISTS

Software design: one way is to make it so simple that there are obviously no deficiencies and the other way is to make it so complicated that there are no obvious deficiencies. The first method is for more difficult.

C.A.R. Hoare, 1980.

IF List Anatomy head vs head vs

New vs

No. 1, 
$$x_1$$
,  $x_2$ , ...,  $x_n$ ,  $x_n$ ,  $x_n$ 

init xs

(w:)

cons

List a Empty Lons

Therefore

Adata [a] = [] (:) a [a]

or:

Adata List a where

Empty :: List a

Cons :: a  $\rightarrow$  List  $\rightarrow$  List a

Lists are a persistant duty structure

We can appeal lists with H: XS ->: Ma->CI [[V]->[Na X5 #45 1 × 1 - 1 × 2 + > (+) " [a] -> [a] -> [a] > [] + ys = x: (xs + ys)T(#) xs ys  $\in O(m)$ where m = length xs > folin:  $(a \rightarrow b \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow b$ > folin fh [] = k > febr fk (xxx) = fx (foldr fk xx) filds f k

fell :: (6-2 a-16) > [a] 7 6 fells (:) [] = id foll (snoc) [] = id when is fells = foldl? Monoid: (9, 1, 2) (4):: and -1 a  $x \diamond (y \diamond z) = (x \diamond y) \diamond z (associating)$  $2 \diamond 7 = 9$  (Upt unit)  $2 \diamond 7 = 4$  (Upt unit)  $3 \diamond 2 = 3$  (N) who unit) fuln (0)  $\varepsilon = foldh(0) \varepsilon$ 

Examples of Monoids:

$$(xs #y) #25$$

$$(xs #y$$

concate [xx, xx, ..., xxm+1] rcl ((xs, + xsz) + xsz) + xsm) + xsm) + xsm+1)

n 2n 3n

mn  $0 \left( m^2 n \right)$ How do we fix this? Insight: (.) is associative. XS1 # XS2 # XS3 fxs, . fxs2 . fxs3 1

((XS1+) · (XS2+) · (XS3+)) []

2 :: DList a
2 = DList id

$$XS + \{yS + zS\}$$
?  $f \cdot g \cdot h$ ?  $(XS + yS) + zS$